



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 4167-13 F 09/29/98 **ADAMS** 09/163,259 **EXAMINER** PM82/0716 MCALLISTER, S RANDY G. HENLEY OTIS ELEVATOR COMPANY ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PATENT DEPARTMENT 3652 TEN FARM SPRINGS FARMINGTON CT 06032 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/163,259

Applicant(s)

Adams et al

Examiner

Steven B. McAllister

Group Art Unit 3652



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193.	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-18	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	
received in this national stage application from the	
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori	ity uniuer 30 0.3.6. 3 113(e).
Attachment(s)	
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pager N	Jo(s).
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
•	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/163,259

Art Unit: 3652

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species 1, an elevator with support columns and sheaves on the counterweight and car as drawn to Fig. 2; Species 2, an elevator with support columns and a rope attached directly to the counterweight and car, as drawn to Fig. 3; Species 3, an elevator with the motor attached to one of the ceiling or the side of the hoistway, as drawn to Fig. 4; and Species 4, an elevator with two horizontal support members and the motor in the bottom section of the hoistway, as drawn to Fig. 6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/163,259

Art Unit: 3652

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct,

applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission

may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an 2.

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 3.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 4.

should be directed to Steven B. McAllister whose telephone number is (703) 308-7052.

Str B. McAllister

July 12, 1999

11. 1 1 2 1 2 99